| 1 | - | THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | <u> </u> | |
| 5 | ; | |
| 6 | 5 | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | WESTERN DISTRICT AT SEA | |
| 9 | | · |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | NO. CR11-0070RAJ |
| 11 | | · |
| 12 | | VERDICT FORM |
| 13 | \mathbf{v} | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Defendant. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | ; | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | 7 | |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | COUNTS 1-11: |
|----------|---|
| 2 | WIRE FRAUD |
| 3 | Count 1: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Mad Pizza Madison Park |
| 4 | on or about August 6, 2010, as charged in Count 1, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 5 | |
| 6 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 7 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 8 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 9 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 10 | reasonable doubt that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 11 | |
| 12 13 | No Yes |
| 13 | Count 2: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Mad Pizza First Hill on or |
| 15 | about August 7, 2010, as charged in Count 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant |
| 16 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 17 | If you find the defendant not quilty of the preceding count places continue onto the |
| 18 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 21 | reasonable doubt that the offense affected a financial institution: |
| 22 | No Yes |
| 23 | Count 3: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Casa Mia Italian Pizzeria |
| 24 | on or about August 9, 2010, as charged in Count 3, we, the jury, unanimously find the |
| 25 | defendant: |
| 26 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| 1 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
|----------------|---|
| 2 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 3 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 4 | reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 5 | NoYes |
| 6 | 105 |
| 7 | Count 4: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Mad Pizza South Lake |
| 8 | Union on or about August 28, 2010, as charged in Count 4, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| $\frac{9}{10}$ | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 11 | HOT GOILTT GOILTT |
| | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 12 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 13 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 14 | reasonable doubt that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 15 | |
| 16 | No Yes |
| 17 | Count 5: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Grand Central Baking |
| 18 | Company on or about October 4, 2010, as charged in Count 5, we, the jury, unanimously |
| 19 | find the defendant: |
| 20 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 21 | |
| 22 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 23 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 24 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 25 | reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 26 | NoYes |
| 27 | No Yes |
| 28 | |

| 1 2 | Count 6: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Broadway Grill on or about October 22, 2010, as charged in Count 6, we, the jury, unanimously find the |
|-------|---|
| 3 | defendant: |
| 4 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 5 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 6 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 7 | • |
| 8 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 9 | reasonable dodot that the offense affected a financial institution: |
| 10 | NoYes |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Count 7: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 7, we, the jury, unanimously find the |
| 13 | |
| 14 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 15 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 16 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 17 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 18 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 19 | reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 20 | No Yes |
| 21 | 100 |
| 22 | Count 8: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Mad Pizza South Lake |
| 23 | Union on or about December 15, 2010, as charged in Count 8, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 26 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 27 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 28 | |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 3 | reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 4 | No Yes |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Count 9: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Village Pizza on or about December 23, 2010, as charged in Count 9, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 7 | |
| 8 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 9 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 10 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 11 | If you find the defendant quilty of the preceding count do you find haven do |
| 12 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 13 | |
| 14 | NoYes |
| 15 | Count 10: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or |
| 16 | about January 10, 2011, as charged in Count 10, we, the jury, unanimously find the |
| 17 | defendant: |
| 18 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 19 | |
| 20 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 21 | heat count and skip the himtedatery following question. |
| 22 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 23 | reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 24 | No Yes |
| 25 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 26 | |
| | |
| 27 | |

| 1 | Count 11: As to the offense of Wire Fraud with respect to Red Pepper Pizzeria on |
|----|---|
| 2 | or about October 26, 2013, as charged in Count 11, we, the jury, unanimously find the |
| | defendant: |
| 3 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 4 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 5 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 6 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 9 | reasonable doubt that that the offense affected a financial institution? |
| 10 | No Yes |
| 11 | COUNTS 12-20: |
| 12 | INTENTIONAL DAMAGE TO A COMPUTER |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Count 12: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to |
| 15 | Mad Pizza Madison Park on or about August 6, 2010, as charged in Count 12, we, the jury unanimously find the defendant: |
| 16 | |
| 17 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 18 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 19 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 20 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 21 | reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year |
| 22 | period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? |
| 23 | |
| 24 | No Yes |
| ļ | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto to next count and skip the immediately following question. If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? No | О |
|--|--------|
| If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto to next count and skip the immediately following question. If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? No | |
| If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? No | |
| next count and skip the immediately following question. If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? No | the |
| If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? No | ui.c |
| If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? No | |
| reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? No | |
| No | |
| 11 No | |
| Count 14: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Casa Mia Italian Resutaurant on or about August 9, 2010, as charged in Count 14, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto next count and skip the immediately following question. | |
| Casa Mia Italian Resutaurant on or about August 9, 2010, as charged in Count 14, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto next count and skip the immediately following question. | |
| Casa Mia Italian Resutaurant on or about August 9, 2010, as charged in Count 14, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto next count and skip the immediately following question. | to. |
| NOT GUILTY GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto next count and skip the immediately following question. | |
| If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto next count and skip the immediately following question. | |
| If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto next count and skip the immediately following question. | |
| If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto next count and skip the immediately following question. | |
| next count and skip the immediately following question. | the |
| 1 X 11 | |
| TC C 1.1 1.C 1 | |
| reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year | ſ |
| period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? | |
| 21 | |
| 22 No Yes | |
| Count 15: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to | to |
| 24 Mad Pizza South Lake Union on or about August 28, 2010, as charged in Count 15, we | e, the |
| jury, unanimously find the defendant: | |
| 26 NOT GUILTY GUILTY | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| 1 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 2 | litext count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 3 4 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year |
| 5 | period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? |
| 6 7 | No Yes |
| 8 9 | Count 16: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Village Pizza on or about Sepember 13, 2010, as charged in Count 16, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 10 11 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 12 13 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 14 15 16 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? |
| 17 18 | No Yes |
| 19 20 21 | Count 17: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Grand Central Baking Company on or about October 4, 2010, as charged in Count 17, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 22 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 23 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 24 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 2526 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| 1 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
|---|--|
| 2 | reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year |
| 3 | period totaling t least \$5,000 in value? |
| 4 | No Yes |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Count 18: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to |
| 7 | Broadway Grill on or about October 22, 2010, as charged in Count 18, we, the jury, |
| 8 | unanimously find the defendant: |
| 9 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 10 | YCong Contact 1 C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 11 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 12 | none count and skip the immediatory ronowing question. |
| 13 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 14 | reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year |
| 15 | period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? |
| | No. Was V |
| 16 | NoYes |
| 16 17 | |
| 17 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to |
| 17 18 | |
| 17 18 19 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 17 18 19 20 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 117 118 119 220 221 222 23 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 17 18 19 220 21 22 23 23 24 25 26 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto th next count and skip the immediately following question. If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Count 19: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about November 2, 2010, as charged in Count 19, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto th next count and skip the immediately following question. If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year |

| $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ | Count 20: As to the offense of Intentional Damage to a Computer with respect to Red Pepper Pizzeria on or about October 26, 2013, as charged in Count 20, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
|---|---|
| 4 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 5 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 6 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 7 | TC C. 1.1. 1. C. 1. d 1 1 2.1 d d C. d. harrand a |
| 8 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year |
| 9 | period totaling at least \$5,000 in value? |
| 10 | |
| 11 | No Yes |
| 12 | |
| 13 | COUNTS 21-29: |
| 14 | OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM A COMPUTER WITHOUT |
| 15 | AUTHORIZATION |
| 16 | Count 21: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without |
| 17 | Authorization with respect to Mad Pizza Madison Park between about August 6, 2010 and about February 15, 2011, as charged in Count 21, we, the jury, unanimously find the |
| 18 | defendant: |
| 19 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 20 | NOT GOILTT |
| 21 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 22 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 23 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 24 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage |
| 25 | or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device fraud? |
| 26 | NoYes |
| 27 | No Yes |
| 28 | |

| 1 | Count 22: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without |
|----------|--|
| 2 | Authorization with respect to Mad Pizza First Hill between about August 7, 2010 and about |
| 3 | February 15, 2011, as charged in Count 22, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 4 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY V |
| 5 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 6 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage |
| 9 | or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device |
| 10 | fraud? |
| 11 | No Yes V |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Count 23: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without |
| 14 | Authorization with respect to Casa Mia Italian Pizzeria between about August 9, 2010 and about February 23, 2011, as charged in Count 23, we, the jury, unanimously find the |
| 15 | defendant: |
| 16 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 17 | |
| 18 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| 19 | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 20 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 21 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage |
| 22 | or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device fraud? |
| 23 | |
| | No Yes |
| 24 25 | |
| | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | H |

| 1 | Count 24: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without |
|----|---|
| 2 | Authorization with respect to Mad Pizza South Lake Union between about August 28, |
| | 2010 and about February 1, 2011, as charged in Count 24, we, the jury, unanimously find |
| 3 | the defendant: |
| 4 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 5 | |
| 6 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 7 | l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l |
| 8 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 9 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage |
| 10 | or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device fraud? |
| 11 | |
| 12 | No Yes |
| | |
| 13 | Count 25: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without |
| 14 | Authorization with respect to Village Pizza between about September 13, 2010 and about |
| 15 | March 26, 2011, as charged in Count 25, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: |
| 16 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY |
| 17 | |
| 18 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the |
| | next count and skip the immediately following question. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a |
| 21 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device |
| 22 | fraud? |
| | |
| 23 | No Yes_ |
| 24 | |
| 25 | // |
| | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| 1 2 | Count 26: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without Authorization with respect to Grand Central Baking Company between about October 4, | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | 2010 and about December 1, 2010, as charged in Count 26, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY | | | | | | |
| 6 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the | | | | | | |
| 7 | next count and skip the immediately following question. | | | | | | |
| 8 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a | | | | | | |
| 9 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage | | | | | | |
| 10 | or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device fraud? | | | | | | |
| 11 | No Yes | | | | | | |
| 12 | No Yes | | | | | | |
| 13 | Count 27: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without | | | | | | |
| 14 | Authorization with respect to Broadway Grill between about October 22, 2010 and about | | | | | | |
| 15 | October 27, 2010, as charged in Count 27, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: | | | | | | |
| 16 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. | | | | | | |
| 19 | in the state and | | | | | | |
| 20 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a | | | | | | |
| 21 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device | | | | | | |
| 22 | fraud? | | | | | | |
| 23 | No Yes | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | // | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |

| 1 | Count 28: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without Authorization with respect to Mad Pizza Starfire between about November 2, 2010 and | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | about February 1, 2011, as charged in Count 28, we, the jury, unanimously find the | | | | | |
| 3 | defendant: | | | | | |
| 4 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the | | | | | |
| 7 | next count and skip the immediately following question. | | | | | |
| 8 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a | | | | | |
| 9 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantage | | | | | |
| 10 | or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device fraud? | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | NoYes | | | | | |
| 13 | Count 29: As to the offense of Obtaining Information from a Computer Without | | | | | |
| 14 | Authorization with respect to Red Pepper Pizzeria between about October 26, 2013 and | | | | | |
| 15 | about May 1, 2014, as charged in Count 29, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: | | | | | |
| 16 | NOT GUILTY $GUILTY V GUILTY V$ | | | | | |
| 17 | No. 7 1 1 16 1 16 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | |
| 18 | If you find the defendant not guilty of the preceding count, please continue onto the next count and skip the immediately following question. | | | | | |
| 19 | heat count and skip the immediatory following quotient. | | | | | |
| 20 | If you find the defendant guilty of the preceding count, do you find beyond a | | | | | |
| 21 | reasonable doubt that the offense was committed for the purpose of commercial advantag or private financial gain, or was committed in furtherance of wire fraud or access device | | | | | |
| 22 | fraud? | | | | | |
| 23 | NoYes | | | | | |
| 24 | NoYes | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| | II | | | | | |

| $1 \parallel$ | 1 COUNTS 30-38: | • | | | | | |
|------------------|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | ACCESS DEVICE FRAUD -UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF ACCESS DEVICES | | | | | | |
| 3 | Count 30: As to the offense of Unlawful Possession of A | Access I | Devices with respect | | | | |
| . 11 | · [1 | to Mad Pizza Madison Park on or about August 6, 2010, as charged in Count 30, we, the | | | | | |
| 5 J | 5 jury, unanimously find the defendant: | | , / | | | | |
| 6 | 6 NOT GUILTY GUILTY | Y | <u></u> | | | | |
| 7 | 7 Count 31: As to the offense of Unlawful Possession of | Access T | Devices with respect | | | | |
| | 8 to Mad Pizza First Hill on or about August 7, 2010, as charged | | | | | | |
| 10 | NOT GUILTY GUILT | v V | | | | | |
| 11 | | 1 | | | | | |
| 1 1 1 1 | to Casa Mia Italian Pizzeria on or about August 9, 2010, as cha | | | | | | |
| 13 J | Jury, unanimously find the defendant. | | 1 | | | | |
| 15 | NOT GUILTY GUILT | Y | | | | | |
| 16 | Count 33: As to the offense of Unlawful Possession of | Access] | Devices with respect | | | | |
| A / 11 | $_{17}$ to Mad Pizza South Lake Union on or about August 28, 2010, as charged in Count | | | | | | |
| 18 | the jury, unanimously find the defendant: | | | | | | |
| 19 | NOT GUILTY GUILT | Y | | | | | |
| 20 | | . | D. 1 | | | | |
| $21 \parallel_1$ | Count 34: As to the offense of Unlawful Possession of to Village Pizza on or about September 13, 2010, as charged in | | | | | | |
| - 11 | I some and instruction of the state of the s | | | | | | |
| 23 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY GUILT | Υ | | | | | |
| 24 | | <u> </u> | V | | | | |
| 25 | Count 35: As to the offense of Unlawful Possession of | | | | | | |
| _][' | Tto Grand Central Baking Company on of about October 4, 201 | o, as ch | argeu iii Count 55, | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | 28 | | | | | | |

| 1 | NOT GUILTY | (| GUILTY _ | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Count 36: As to the offense of Unlawful Possession of Access Devices with respect to Broadway Grill on or about October 22, 2010, as charged in Count 36, we, the jury, | | | | | | | |
| 4 | unanimously find the defendant: | | | · | | • | | |
| 5 | NOT GUILTY | (| GUILTY _ | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | • | , | | | |
| 7 | Count 37: As to the offense | | | | | - | | |
| 8 | to Mad Pizza Starfire on or about No unanimously find the defendant: | ovember 2, 2010, as | charged in | Count 3 / | , we, the | jury, | | |
| 9 | NOT CLIFF TO | , | OT HY MY | | | | | |
| 10 | NOT GUILTY | | GUILTY _ | | | | | |
| 11 | Count 38: As to the offense | of Unlawful Possess | sion of Acc | ess Devic | es with r | espect | | |
| 12 to Red Pepper Pizzeria on or about October 26, 2013, as charged in Count 38, | | | | | | - | | |
| 12 | 1 | october 26, 2013, as | s charged in | i Count 38 | s, we, the | jury, | | |
| 12 13 | unanimously find the defendant: | October 26, 2013, as | s charged in | 1 Count 38 | s, we, the | e jury, | | |
| | 1 | | GUILTY _ | 1 Count 38 | , we, the | e jury, | | |
| 13 | unanimously find the defendant: | | _ | Count 38 | s, we, me | gury, | | |
| 13 14 | unanimously find the defendant: | | _ | i Count 38 | s, we, me | jury, | | |
| 13 14 15 | unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY | | _ | Count 38 | , we, the | jury, | | |
| 13 14 15 16 | unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY | | _ | Count 38 | s, we, the | gury, | | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY | | _ | Count 38 | , we, the | gury, | | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY | | _ | Count 38 | s, we, the | gury, | | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY | | _ | Count 38 | s, we, the | ; jury, | | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY | | _ | Count 38 | s, we, the | · jury, | | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | unanimously find the defendant: NOT GUILTY | | _ | Count 38 | s, we, the | ; jury, | | |

| 1 | COUNTS 39-40: | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | AGGRAVATED IDENTITY THEFT | | | | |
| 3 | Count 39: As to the offense of Aggravated Identity Theft with respect to the credit | | | | |
| 4 | card number of the person identified as D.K. on or about October 22, 2010, as charged in | | | | |
| 5 | Count 39, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: | | | | |
| 6 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY | | | | |
| 7 | Count 40: As to the offense of Aggravated Identity Theft with respect to the credit | | | | |
| 8 | card number of the person identified as R.G. on or about April 9, 2014, as charged in Coun | | | | |
| 9 | 40, we, the jury, unanimously find the defendant: | | | | |
| 10 | NOT GUILTY GUILTY | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | PRESIDING JUROR | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | DATED: 8/25/2016 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | |